



# Dimensions

MISSOURI BOARD FOR ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS,  
PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

## ARCHITECTURAL DIVISION LETTER

By: Kenneth M. Frashier, AIA, Architectural Division Member



This is my first time writing an article for Dimensions; therefore, I would like to start off by introducing myself. I'm Ken Frashier, and I started my architectural career in 1959 at Kansas State University (KSU). I lived in Kansas City, Missouri through high school, and would have gone to architecture school in Missouri, but there wasn't one at that time. So, since Missouri had reciprocity with Kansas, I decided on KSU.

I worked in KCMO during the summers to get experience during college. I graduated in the summer of 1963, and established my home in Kansas City. I worked for several firms gaining a wide variety of experience for the next six years, until I made the leap to private practice in 1970. I took the licensing exam in Jefferson City in 1966 as soon as I was eligible, and miraculously passed the test the first time. I have been practicing architecture ever since in Kansas City, Overland Park, Lee's Summit, and now at Lake Lotawana in my home. I have worked on many different building types during my 40 years of architectural work, and I enjoyed every minute of it except for the recessions that seem to happen every 10 years or so.

The Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors and Landscape Architects is my newest adventure. I have been involved in AIA committees, planning commissions, homeowners associations, and am still chairman of the Lake Lotawana Board of Adjustments. When I saw a notice in the KCAIA newsletter that they were looking for someone interested in serving in a statewide capacity on the Missouri Board, I said yes, and that started a process that took almost nine months until I was appointed by Governor Nixon, and confirmed by the Missouri Senate.

The job is certainly more complex and interesting than I could have ever imagined. I've only attended two Board meetings so far, but I am already heavily involved with many other responsibilities such as meeting with members of the Pillar's Group from KCAIA and members of the Missouri AIA. There is a steady flow of correspondence from the Board of-

fice regarding ongoing cases involving architects licensed in Missouri who have had allegations lodged against them regarding a variety of infractions of state law. We also get involved with engineering cases, surveying cases, and landscape architectural cases and have had hearings with city building officials on their code enforcement. The Board has a very professional, experienced staff of ten full time employees and a couple of contract employees consisting of an investigator and attorney who provides general counsel to the Board. The Board utilizes representatives from the Attorney General's office for its litigation. I have also enjoyed meeting the other members of the Board. It is fun getting their views about practice and their locations around the state.

At the writing of my next article, I will know more about the way the Board functions and have a better grasp on my responsibilities. At that time I will be able to discuss the Board's concerns about the practice of architecture in Missouri. I do know that paying your Missouri taxes, keeping your license renewed, licensing your corporation to practice in Missouri, keeping up on continuing education requirements, and only signing and sealing drawings that are prepared within your area of expertise are all very important. The consequences of licensees failing to do these things are typically addressed in the regularly scheduled meetings of the Board and are some areas that will be discussed in detail in future articles.

### INSIDE THIS ISSUE...

Architectural Division Letter.....	1
Professional Engineering Division Letter.....	2-3
Professional Land Surveying Division Letter.....	3-4
Landscape Architectural Division Letter.....	4-5
Incidental Practice.....	5-6
Who can write a legal description.....	6
Exam Dates.....	7
L.A.R.E. Administration dates.....	7
Disciplinary actions.....	8-17
Outgoing Board Members.....	18
New Members of the Architectural Division of the Board.....	18-19
A Letter from the Director.....	19
Year End Report.....	20-22
New Licensees.....	22-26

**Governor**

The Honorable Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon

**Department of Insurance, Financial  
Institutions and Professional Registration**  
John M. Huff, Director

**Division of Professional Registration**  
Jane A. Rackers, Director

**BOARD FOR ARCHITECTS,  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS,  
PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS  
AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS**

**BOARD MEMBERS**

Vacant, Board Chair  
Marian "Munnie" Pacino, Public Member

**Architectural Division**

Randall B. Miltenberger, AIA, Chair  
Kenneth M. Frashier, AIA, Member  
Kathy W. Achelpohl, AIA, Member

**Professional Engineering Division**

C. Royce Fugate, PE, Chair  
Kevin C. Skibiski, PE, PLS, Member  
Abiodun "Abe" Adewale, PE, Member

**Professional Land Surveying Division**

Michael C. Freeman, PLS, Chair  
J. Michael Flowers, PLS, Member  
Daniel L. Govero, PLS, Member

**Landscape Architectural Division**

Robert N. Hartnett, RLA, Chair  
Jerany Jackson, RLA, Member  
Vacant, Member

**BOARD STAFF**

Judy Kempker, Executive Director  
Shawn Hagerty, Investigator  
Sandy Robinson, Executive Assistant  
Nancy Plaster, Licensing Technician II  
Jan Gilliam, Licensing Technician II  
Karen Payne, Licensing Technician II  
Jane Coffman, Senior Office Support Assistant  
Laurie Koelling, Account Clerk II  
Brienne Rademan, Licensing Technician I  
Brittney Wenzel, Office Support Assistant

**BOARD ADDRESS**

3605 Missouri Boulevard  
Jefferson City, MO 65109  
(573) 751-0047  
(573) 751-8046 Fax  
(800) 735-2966 TTY  
[moapels@pr.mo.gov](mailto:moapels@pr.mo.gov)  
URL: <http://pr.mo.gov/apelsla.asp>



**STATE OF MISSOURI**  
Division of Professional Registration

This is an official publication of the Division  
of Professional Registration

Submit articles to APEPLSLA,  
3605 Missouri Boulevard  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65109

# PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING DIVISION LETTER

By: Abe Adewale, PE,  
Engineering Division Member



## APELSLA, more than meets the eye

Since my appointment by Governor Nixon to the Missouri Board of APELSLA in November 2009, I have been very eager to learn about the Board's duties and how my role on the Board will help enhance the life of all members of the engineering profession and more importantly the lives of all Missourians. Like most engineers, my knowledge of the Board was limited to the approval of FE and PE exams, issuing of licenses and auditing of continuing education PDHs. For the vast majority of engineers, the interaction with the Board is typically in the aforementioned areas. Most are not aware of the other responsibilities of the Board.

From the Board's web page <http://pr.mo.gov/apelsla.asp>, you will find that the Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors and Landscape Architects was established in 1941 to protect the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Missouri against the danger of collapse of structures erected for public use. The Board also exists to safeguard Missouri residents and their property from damages that might result from illegal and incompetent architectural, engineering, land surveying and landscape architectural practices.

These responsibilities seem straightforward until you get the opportunity to serve and realize that there is more than meets the eye. Behind closed doors, I have been able to witness how much work the Board does to keep us all safe, enforce the laws on the books, regulate who and what type of companies we allow to practice in the state, manage the standards for getting licensed, work with professional organizations, and to clarify the rules that are interpreted from one community to another. So far I have attended only two Board meetings but I have participated in a number of phone conferences, uncountable deliberations, and processed numerous applications for licenses either by exam or comity. I have also been involved in the review of over fifty disciplinary actions. One glaring perception offered by a board member of the engineers club of St. Louis where I also serve on the board is that the APELSLA Board has been quite active in addressing complaints against individuals and professionals not following the Code of State Regulations. These regulations along with the MO Revised Statutes (RSMo) guide the Board in addressing complaints. In particular, Chapter 327, RSMo. If you are reading this article, I strongly encourage you to read the statutes as it is the equivalent of the constitution and amendments for the practice of engineering in Missouri.

Several glaring issues that present challenges to this Board include how long it takes to resolve complaints. When a complaint is made, it goes through a prescribed process of review, investigations, filing of complaint by the Attorney General's office and in some cases, hearings and even law suits. This process can take as little as one month to as long as five years. It is essential to go through this process so that the complaint is given fair and judicious consideration.

## PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING DIVISION LETTER...CONTINUED...

An area of concern is the practice of engineering without a license or companies operating without a Certificate of Authority (COA) to practice. It is actually astounding the number of companies that have been practicing without a COA since their existence or the number of out of area companies that come into Missouri to provide professional service without obtaining the COA. Section 327.077.1 states that in the case of disciplinary actions against licensed or unlicensed persons, the Board may issue an order imposing a civil penalty. Such penalty shall not be imposed until the findings of fact and conclusions of law by the Administrative Hearing Commission have been delivered to the Board in accordance with section 621.110, RSMo. Further, no civil penalty shall commence until a formal meeting and vote by the Board has been taken to impose such a penalty. This statute goes to the first issue of why it takes a long time to adjudicate complaints.

Another issue that confronts the Board is the lack of consistent standards from one municipality to another on what the requirements are for getting plans approved for construction. A significant amount of Missouri municipalities do not have ordinances that specify the requirements for engineering or architectural plans prior to approval and permits. The Board then finds itself in the middle of a conflict between enforcing the statutes and the municipal standard practice that conflict with the statute. This presents a set of challenges as the Board has no jurisdictional authority over certain municipalities.

The Board is also charged with working with the National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying (NCEES) in establishing testing standards that can be uniformly applied across the country thereby allowing for comity of licenses between states. In the course of carrying out this duty, the Board has to give strong consideration to fees paid for testing and maintaining

professional registration.

This Board's objective is to create an environment where engineers can concentrate their efforts on building and improving the state of Missouri. To achieve these objectives, the Board is embarking on adopting a strategic plan that will focus on four general categories code named a "MAPP for the Future."

**Maintaining Financial Stability and Oversight**

**Achieving Excellence in Organization and Operations**

**Protecting the Public**

**Pursuing Educational Outreach and Dialogue with Stakeholders**

This takes a lot of hard work, communication, dedication, commitment and time. I look forward to serving my fellow citizens and am excited about facing even more challenges over my term of office.

## PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYING DIVISION LETTER

**By: Michael C. Freeman, PLS,  
Division Chair**



Appointment to the Registration Board has been a humbling experience for me. Although I have known several Board Members over my career, I had only a vague idea of the issues they faced or the amount of time they contributed to execute their duties as Board Members. I want to express my gratitude to former Board Members Jim Anderson, Mike Gray and John Teale for their mentoring and leading me through the maze of bureaucracy. The one thing that all Board Members have in common is their dedication to the 'Mission' of protecting the public from

incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation and/or dishonesty.

The most common stereotype image of "the Board" is similar to that of being sent to the principal's office but that is just a small part of our duties. When an individual seeks to become a Professional Land Surveyor he/she goes through an administrative process completing an application, securing letters of recommendation, listing surveying education and experience. The Board staff does an outstanding job verifying the technical aspects of the application but we are the ones who evaluate these applicants. The Board Members review these applications with the goal of licensing only "qualified" professionals. Section 327.312 RSMo provides the "steps" to licensure. The vast majority of the individuals who begin the licensing process have the minimal educational requirements of "12 semester hours of approved surveying course work." We evaluate transcripts for duplication...if we're doing our job we won't approve 6 hours for two (2) courses if the courses are basically the same, i.e. "principles of surveying" at one institution and "fundamentals of surveying" at another school. We also look at the types of courses. Cartography is a good course but it is not an acceptable substitute for a course in "advanced surveying" or "surveying II". There is a required "body of knowledge" that is necessary to be a successful surveyor and there are no shortcuts.

Another area we look at is work experience. Board Rule 20 CSR 2030-5.110 defines professional office and field experience. The applicants must have at least 1/3 of their experience in the field and 1/3 in the office, all under the direct personal supervision of a licensed Professional Land Surveyor. Engineering surveying can be counted but will receive no more than 25% credit. As an example, the LS candidate lists 6 months in the office, 18 months in the field and 24 months of engineering surveys. Even



## PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYING DIVISION LETTER...CONTINUED...

though he has 4 years experience he has not yet met the experience requirement because (1) we count only 25% of the 24 months of engineering surveys; and, (2) even if the engineering surveys were boundary surveying, he still isn't qualified because he must have at least 1/3 or 16 months of office experience. The supervising PLS, properly mentoring his workers, will make sure these individuals receive the required experience. When we see experience forms signed off by a PLS that do not meet the requirements we see a licensee who is negligent in his supervision.

In fulfilling our mission to protect the public we are responsible for policing our profession. The most distasteful part of this job is discipline. In 2007 when I first joined the Board I anticipated that we would review complaints and dispense discipline as needed. I was amazed to find that we were working on complaints that dated back over 2 years. The cases are not black or white but many shades of gray. The process is slow and tedious. When a surveying complaint is filed we review the complaint and request a response. The information is evaluated by the Survey Division at the next quarterly meeting. If we determine that further investigation is warranted, our investigator will gather additional evidence. Occasionally we will also conduct a field investigation, this process often takes 3-6 months. We then may request an appearance by the surveyor to allow him or her a chance to answer the charges and explain his or her position. The case can then be closed or forwarded to the Administrative Hearing Commission (AHC), a process that generally takes about 6-12 months. Finally, if the AHC deems the evidence sufficient and rules accordingly, we then conduct a disciplinary hearing. Discipline can range in severity from a letter of censure to probation, suspension or revocation. In addition, monetary civil penalties can be assessed up to \$5,000 for each offense or day of a continued violation with a maximum penalty of \$25,000.

## LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL DIVISION LETTER

By: Robert N. Hartnett, RLA, Division Chair



Recently I had the privilege of serving as the Chair of the Board's Strategic Planning Committee. In my time on the Board I believe we have done an outstanding job of managing the day in and day out affairs of Board business, however long term visioning may have been lacking. We are concerned that "we have always done it that way" may not be the best answer to serve both our licensee's and the citizens of Missouri. The Board voted to create a committee made up of the Board Chair and one member from each division to work towards creating the first strategic plan.

Most of us have participated in the strategic planning process in our work place, and thought it might be beneficial to have the assistance of a management/business consultant to assist us in the preparation of a strategic plan. We selected Art Davis, President of the Art Davis Group, to serve as our facilitator. The process began in January with an anonymous survey of the Board Members, recent Board Members, and staff. Key questions asked included: What are the three most important short-term and long term issues facing APELSLA? What does the APELSLA do well? What existing programs and initiatives could APELSLA improve? What is APELSLA not doing that it should be doing? And what is APELSLA doing that it should not be doing? Art collected and compiled the responses and gave a presentation to the full Board at the January meeting.

About the same time, the four professional societies through the Design Alliance were identified as key stakeholders in the planning process and

were also surveyed. Likewise these survey results were compiled, and a work session with the Design Alliance, Board Strategic Planning Committee and the consultant, was held in early March to review the results. Remarkably, there were common themes identified by both the Board and the Design Alliance.

The final stakeholders selected for input were the Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration Director John Huff, and Professional Registration Division Director Jane Rackers. In March, Mr. Davis and I met with them to solicit their input on the development of the strategic plan. They were supportive of the strategic plan concept and gave valuable guidance from the department and division perspectives.

Key components of the Strategic Plan include a new mission statement and four primary strategic initiatives. They are:

- The Mission Statement has been shortened "To protect the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Missouri through the regulation of the practice of architecture, engineering, land surveying, and landscape architecture."
- Maintaining Financial Stability and Oversight
- Achieving Excellence in Organization and Operations
- Protecting the Public
- Pursuing Educational Outreach and Dialogue with Stakeholders

As Art pointed out, the acronym of the four initiatives is MAPP, our road map for the future.

The strategic plan was presented to the full Board in May and the Board approved and adopted the plan. Responsibilities for various components have been assigned to Board Members and staff. Reports on the progress for the various initiatives will be presented to the full Board at each quarterly meeting. This will ensure that the Strategic Plan remains a relevant document in the near future. If you are interested in reviewing the full Strategic Plan, please

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL DIVISION LETTER...CONTINUED...

visit our website at <http://pr.mo.gov/apelsla.asp>.

The Strategic Plan Committee membership changed during the process due to term limits and Board appointments. For their service, leadership and vision, I would like to thank former Board Chair Jim Anderson and Randy Miltenberger, from the Architectural Division, Kevin Skibski, from the PE Division, Mike Freeman, who replaced John Teale from the LS Division, and Judy Kempker, representing the Board staff. I would also like to thank all the stakeholders that participated in the process. Finally, I would like to thank Art Davis for leading us through the process and delivering a tool that will provide a roadmap for the Board in the future.

As always, feel free to contact the Board office if you have any questions.

## INCIDENTAL PRACTICE

**By: Kevin C. Skibski, PE, PLS, Engineering Division Member**

At the Design Alliance Meeting on March 4, 2010, in Jefferson City one of the agenda items was "Incidental Practice Clarifications." Discussing this issue is like bringing up the fact that a 300 pound gorilla is in the room, but no one wants to talk about it. Maybe it is time we did talk about it. Why is this such an off limits topic? Is it because in years past, architects and engineers did not trust each other? Through collaborative efforts like the Design Alliance, we are closer now to working out our professional differences than ever before.

One participant at the meeting compared defining incidental practice to what US Supreme Court Justice Byron White once said about pornography, he could not define it, but knew it when he saw it. Do we really know incidental practice when we see it?

Missouri statutes are a little vague on the subject. Persons excepted from the unauthorized practice of engineering in Section 327.191(4) includes "Any holder of a currently valid license or certificate of authority as an architect who performs only such engineering work as is incidental and necessary to the completion of architectural work lawfully being performed by such architect." Similarly, persons excepted from the unauthorized practice of architecture in Section 327.101(3) includes "Any holder of a currently valid license as a professional engineer who performs only such architectural work as is incidental and necessary to the completion of engineering work lawfully being performed by such licensed professional engineer." Section 327.101(5) goes further by listing currently used exceptions to the practice of architecture for privately owned buildings, including:

- a) A dwelling house
- b) Two family dwelling house
- c) Commercial or industrial building with an occupancy of not more than 9 people
- d) Structure less than 20,000 cubic feet, except as described in b) and c), and
- e) Farm building

I researched the laws of several states and Canada. New Mexico places a dollar limit on the project, such that "Architectural work incidental to engineering shall be that architectural work provided on projects with a building construction value not greater than four hundred thousand dollars (\$400,000) and having a total occupant load not greater than fifty (50)." Engineering work incidental to architecture has the same limits, \$400,000 and fifty (50) occupants. There is also a general height limit of two (2) stories.

Utah defines incidental practice to be "work on a project with a construction value not greater than 15 percent of the overall construction value of a project including all changes or additions to the contracted or agreed upon work."

Arkansas has maybe the best answer to the question of what constitutes incidental practice. The Arkansas Board of Registration of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors and the Arkansas State Board of Architects jointly adopted a resolution concerning the respective practices of Architecture and Engineering. In part, the resolution states the following:

1. The Arkansas Engineering and Architectural Acts classify buildings according to their intended purpose:

A. The design of buildings intended for accommodation of equipment, vehicles, goods and/or processes or other utilitarian functions, with human occupancy including office spaces as required for the support of these functions constitutes the Practice of Engineering (A.C.A. 17-30-104).

B. The design and construction of buildings for human occupancy or habitation constitutes the Practice of Architecture (A.C.A. 17-15-102)

**INCIDENTAL PRACTICE...CONTINUED...**

2. Incidental practice means the performance of any service or design which is a small part of a larger project and is simple in design or minor in cost and for which the practitioner is qualified.
3. Design professionals performing incidental service or design must be prepared to:
  - A. Demonstrate qualifications and competency in the area of incidental practice.
  - B. Demonstrate that the life, health, property and welfare of the public are not compromised by performance of the incidental design.
  - C. Accept full responsibility for the incidental design or service.

The Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors and Landscape Architects (Board) interprets the statutes and rules on an individual complaint basis, meaning each complaint is reviewed independently and applicable statutes and rules are researched and applied as deemed appropriate. Each division reviews the complaints falling within their area of expertise, and outside experts are consulted when necessary. The next step is full Board action on each individual complaint.

In areas where the accused claims the work in question is incidental practice, the Board does not try to define what work is incidental. Instead the Board looks to see if the work was done in a competent manner, does it meet the necessary standard of care for engineering and architecture. Board Rule 20 CSR 2030-2.010 (4) states "Licensees shall undertake to perform architecture, professional engineering, land surveying, and landscape architecture services only when they are qualified by education, training, and experience in the specific technical areas involved."

Because we don't license engineers by discipline, each licensee must make the decision as to whether or not he/she is qualified to perform the design required. If a complaint is made and they are found not to be competent in an area of design, they face discipline, which could be anything from a reprimand to revocation of their license, depending upon the offense. Architects are looked at the same way, are they qualified by education, training, and experience to perform the design.

So what should we do? Is it time to develop a stricter definition of incidental practice? Is it time to develop a memorandum of understanding or a resolution between architects and engineers? Is it time to become more discipline specific in our licensing process? Or do we continue to interpret the statutes and rules as we do now? Let us know your opinion by either sending a letter to the Board office or an email to [moapels@pr.mo.gov](mailto:moapels@pr.mo.gov).

## **CLARIFICATION ON "WHO CAN WRITE A LEGAL DESCRIPTION"**

**By: Michael C. Freeman, PLS, Division Chair**

Over the past few months following the distribution of our Winter 2009 Dimensions newsletter we've had a number of inquiries pertaining to the article entitled "Who can write a legal description?" It is not an easy question to answer. The statute is clear that ONLY licensed surveyors can determine the location of land boundary lines. What is not clear and what has been questioned is does an easement fall within the definition of land surveying, and if it does, are there any exceptions to the general requirement that land surveys be prepared by licensed land surveyors. There is no question that an easement is a recordable interest in real property and that it does affect some real property rights. However, do all easements affect the location of land boundary lines to the extent that only land surveyors can prepare them?

Some land surveyors when reading section 327.272 (2) of the statute appear to quit reading before they get to the last 9 words... "as it affects the location of land boundary lines." An easement creates servitude but does it actually affect the land boundary lines? Many land surveyors and many non land surveyors conclude that the external boundary of the surveyed property does not change because of the easement. In fact, most land surveyors do not show easements unless specifically requested to do so or they are physically observed on the survey site. Such easements must be recorded to protect the title holders of those easements and are identified during property transfers.

Because of questions raised about whether easements tied to and running along a property boundary can be written by someone other than a land surveyor, and due to some disagreements within our professions regarding precisely what the statute is intended to provide, the Board will continue to consider whether such authority to prepare surveys for easements is a power reserved exclusively to the land surveyor. The Board does, however, reiterate that blanket easements prepared by entities with condemning authority are not enforceable and urges its licensees to work with such entities to eliminate that practice.

## EXAM DATES

### EXAMINATION DATES AND FILING DEADLINES

Completed Application for Examination or Re-examination-Rescheduling Application Form must be filed (received) in the Board office not later than the filing deadline, no exception.

Fundamentals of Land Surveying Examination Dates	Application Filing Deadline	Re-Examination/Re-Scheduling Application - Filing Deadline
October 30, 2010	June 1, 2010	August 15, 2010
April 9, 2011	December 1, 2010	February 15, 2011
October 29, 2011	June 1, 2011	August 15, 2011
April 14, 2012	December 1, 2011	February 15, 2012
October 27, 2012	June 1, 2012	August 15, 2012

Principles and Practice of Land Surveying Examination Dates	Application Filing Deadline	Re-Examination/Re-Scheduling Application - Filing Deadline
October 29, 2010	June 1, 2010	August 15, 2010
April 8, 2011	December 1, 2010	February 15, 2011
October 28, 2011	June 1, 2011	August 15, 2011
April 13, 2012	December 1, 2011	February 15, 2012
October 26, 2012	June 1, 2012	August 15, 2012

Fundamentals of Engineering Examination Dates	Application Filing Deadline	Re-Examination/Rescheduling Application - Filing Deadline
October 30, 2010	June 1, 2010	August 15, 2010
April 9, 2011	December 1, 2010	February 15, 2011
October 29, 2011	June 1, 2011	August 15, 2011
April 14, 2012	December 1, 2011	February 15, 2012
October 27, 2012	June 1, 2012	August 15, 2012

Principles and Practice of Engineering Examination Dates	Application Filing Deadline	Re-Examination/Re-Scheduling Application - Filing Deadline
October 29, 2010	June 1, 2010	August 15, 2010
April 8, 2011	December 1, 2010	February 15, 2011
October 28, 2011	June 1, 2011	August 15, 2011
April 13, 2012	December 1, 2011	February 15, 2012
October 26, 2012	June 1, 2012	August 15, 2012

## L.A.R.E. ADMINISTRATION DATES

The dates for the administration of the multiple-choice sections of the L.A.R.E. (Sections A, B and D) are as follows:

- September 13-26, 2010
- March 7-20, 2011
- September 12-25, 2011

**Note:** Any multiple-choice exam may be taken on any day within the two-week window.  
Please check availability at the center where you wish to test to determine the available testing days.

The dates for the administration of the graphic sections of the L.A.R.E. (Sections C and E) are as follows:

- December 13-14, 2010
- June 13-14, 2011
- December 5-6, 2011



## DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

**DISCLAIMER:** Every effort has been made to ensure that the following enforcement information is correct. However, this information should not be relied upon without verification from the Board office. It should be noted that the names of companies and individuals listed may be similar to the names of the parties who have not had enforcement actions taken against them. Discipline orders are public data and copies may be obtained by contacting the Board office. Pursuant to Chapter 327, RSMo, a licensee is entitled to engage in the practice of architecture, engineering, land surveying or landscape architecture during his/her probationary period providing that the licensee adheres to all of the terms and conditions of the Order. However, a licensee whose license has been suspended, is not entitled to engage in the practice of architecture, engineering, land surveying or landscape architecture during his/her suspension period.

### LICENSES CURRENTLY ON SUSPENSION

**LANGERAK, JON W., E-25134** (St. Louis, Missouri) – suspension commenced on November 7, 2009 and ends on November 6, 2010 followed by three (3) years probation commencing on November 7, 2010 and ending on November 6, 2013.

**LARSON, KURT E., A-3605** (Ballwin, Missouri) – suspension commenced on June 9, 2008 ends upon compliance with Section 324.010, RSMo.

**MISCHE, WILLIAM EDWARD, E-19610** (Grandview, Missouri) – suspension commenced on June 9, 2008 ends upon compliance with Section 324.010, RSMo.

**NELSON, DENNIS STANLEY, E-22489** (Eau Claire, Wisconsin) – suspension commenced on May 25, 2010 and ends on August 22, 2010 followed by probation commencing on August 23, 2010 and ending on January 29, 2013.

**RALSTON, WILLIAM A., LS-1144** (Grain Valley, Missouri) – suspension commenced on August 19, 2009 and ends on August 18, 2010 followed by 3 years probation commencing on August 19, 2010 and ending on August 18, 2013.

**RENNER, JOHN W., LS-2000** (Kansas City, Missouri) – suspension commenced on June 9, 2008 ends upon compliance with Section 324.010, RSMo.

### LICENSES CURRENTLY ON PROBATION

**AACH, ROY LEE, E-8150** (Sarasota, Florida) – commenced on September 15, 2009 and ends on September 14, 2010.

**BLACK, PAUL D., E-17203** (Nixa, Missouri) – commenced on September 24, 2008 and ends on September 23, 2011.

**CRM ENGINEERING, INC., E-1534** (Fenton, Missouri) – commenced on July 17, 2009 and ends on July 16, 2013.

**CORLE BUILDING SYSTEMS, INC., E-2005000808** (Imler, Pennsylvania) – commenced on February 21, 2009 and ends on February 20, 2011.

**FENTON, DAVID, PE-19869** D/B/A FENTON ENGINEERING (Town and Country, Missouri) – commenced on August 13, 2009 and ends on August 12, 2011.

**G. W. HASTY & CO. P.C., LS - 2008024145** (Camdenton, Missouri) – commenced on August 6, 2008 and ends on August 5, 2010.

**GERBER, KATRINA M., E-29242** (Overland Park, Kansas) – commenced on May 22, 2009 and ends on May 21, 2011.

**GOMEZ, PHILIP A., A-6390** (St. Louis, Missouri) – commenced on February 17, 2009 and ends on February 16, 2012.

**HAMPTON, TOMMY R., E-10846** (INACTIVE) (Lee's Summit, Missouri) – commenced on July 5, 2008 and ends on July 4, 2011.



**DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS...CONTINUED...**

**HARPER, JOSEPH I. III, E-29527** (Spanish Fort, Alabama) – commenced on July 8, 2009 and ends on July 7, 2010.

**HERMANS, ALBERT P., E-23306** (Independence, Missouri) – commenced on November 9, 2008 and ends on November 8, 2011.

**HUNTER, ELLIOTT DAVID, A-4617** (Joplin, Missouri) – commenced on February 5, 2008 and ends on February 4, 2011.

**JANSEN, LAWRENCE, LS-2385** (Billings, Missouri) – commenced on June 20, 2007 and ends on June 19, 2010.

**KLITZING WELSCH ASSOCIATES INC., A-2009024038** (St. Louis, Missouri) – commenced on February 4, 2010 and ends on February 3, 2011.

**KUDER, SAM L., LS-1714** (Kingman, Arizona) – commenced on May 12, 2009 and ends on May 11, 2012.

**STEPHEN PHILLIP MASLAN, E-20397** (Kansas City, Missouri) – commenced on November 6, 2009 and ends on November 5, 2014.

**THE NATIONAL ARCHITECT CORP., A-2008013313** (Kansas City, Missouri) – commenced on May 19, 2008 and ends on May 18, 2011. On June 11, 2008, The National Architect Corporation filed an appeal with the Administrative Hearing Commission.

**ROGERS, GREGORY CORNWELL, PE-2007034900** (Gastonia, North Carolina) – commenced on June 9, 2010 and ends on June 8, 2011.

**SAPP, MICHAEL F., A-4986** (Maryville, Illinois) – commenced on November 15, 2008 and ends on November 14, 2010.

**SUNSET DESIGN SERVICE, INC., A-2008003962** (EXPIRED) (Des Peres, Missouri) – commenced on November 18, 2009 and ends on October 4, 2011.

**TREAT ARCHITECTS, P.C., E-2010011387** (Branson, Missouri) – commenced on May 12, 2010 and ends upon payment of \$1,000 civil penalty.

**TREAT ARCHITECTS, P.C., A-2010005188** (Branson, Missouri) – commenced on February 16, 2010 and ends upon payment of \$1,000 civil penalty.

**VASQUEZ, JAIRZINHO, PE-2003012574** (Houston, Texas) – commenced on August 27, 2009 and ends on August 26, 2011.

**WELYTOK, MARK E., A-6894** (Branson, Missouri) – commenced on March 29, 2007 and ends on March 28, 2012.

## **REVOCATION**

**KENNETH K. SHOEMAKER, PE-2000144040**

Bartlesville, Oklahoma

**Summary:** The Missouri Board received information that Mr. Shoemaker had pled guilty to one felony count of Procurement Fraud Bribery in the United States District Court, Northern District of Oklahoma. The indictment alleged that Mr. Shoemaker did corruptly give, offer, and agree to give a thing of value, to-wit: \$7,500 to Mr. Albert S. Martinez, an agent of the City of Tulsa, intending to influence and reward Mr. Martinez in connection with awarding an engineering inspection service contract and a series of contracts involving \$5,000 or more with the City of Tulsa to FBS Engineers, Inc. and FBS, Inc. On September 3, 2009, the United States Magistrate entered an order finding that Mr. Shoemaker's plea was made freely, voluntarily, and because he was guilty as charged, and accepting and entering Mr. Shoemaker's plea of guilty.

**DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS...CONTINUED...**

**Cause for Discipline:** The Board found that the crime to which Mr. Shoemaker pled guilty in a criminal case is an offense reasonably related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a professional engineer and that this felony offense has an essential element of which is fraud, dishonesty or involves moral turpitude pursuant to the provisions of Section 327.442.1, RSMo.

**Board Action:** On May 4, 2010, the Board held a disciplinary hearing in this matter. On May 18, 2010, the Board issued an order revoking Mr. Shoemaker's professional engineering license number PE-2000144040.

**SUSPENSION/PROBATION****DENNIS STANLEY NELSON, E-22489**

Eau Claire, Wisconsin

**Summary:** The Board received information that Mr. Nelson's professional engineering license had been subject to disciplinary actions in the states of West Virginia, Wisconsin and Oklahoma. Upon receipt of this information, the Board authorized the Missouri Attorney General's Office to proceed with settlement. Thereafter on June 9, 2009, Mr. Nelson signed an agreement, agreeing to have his professional engineering license, number PE-22489, placed on probation for a period of three (3) years commencing on June 30, 2009 and ending on June 29, 2012. The Order/Agreement had certain provisions regarding the period of probation, among the following: A) Mr. Nelson was to timely renew all licenses and timely pay all fees; B) Mr. Nelson was to comply with all provisions of Chapter 327, all rules and regulations of the Board, and all local, state and federal laws; C) Mr. Nelson was to keep his license current under the provisions of Chapter 327, RSMo; and, D) The Order provides that if the Board determines that Mr. Nelson has violated a term or condition of the Order, which violation would also be actionable in a proceeding before the AHC or the circuit court, the Board may elect to pursue any lawful remedies or procedures afforded it and is not bound by the Order. Mr. Nelson's license remained current and active until December 31, 2009 at which time he failed to timely renew his license as a professional engineer. Also, Mr. Nelson failed to submit documentation that he had completed 30 professional development hours (PDHs) in 2009 before his license was due for renewal on December 31, 2009. Board Rule 20 CSR 2030-11.010 requires all professional engineers to obtain at least 30 professional development hours within the two (2) immediately-preceding calendar years of renewal. On April 30, 2010, the Board received Mr. Nelson's supporting documentation for the required 30 PDHs and on May 3, 2010, the Board approved Mr. Nelson's hours.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists for the Board to discipline Mr. Nelson's professional engineering license pursuant to the provisions of Section 327.441.2(5) by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; Section 327.441.2(6) by violation of, or assisting or enabling any person to violate, any provision of this chapter, or of any lawful rule or regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter; and, Section 327.441.2(13) by violation of any professional trust or confidence.

**Board Action:** The Board held a probation violation hearing on May 4, 2010. Thereafter on May 25, 2010, the Board issued a Disciplinary Order suspending Mr. Nelson's professional engineering license number PE-22489 for 90 days, and then placed on probation until January 29, 2013 subject to terms and conditions of the Board. The suspension commenced on May 25, 2010 and ends on August 22, 2010 followed by probation until January 29, 2013.

**PROBATION****ROBERT ALAN WALZ, PE-2002000617**

Utica, Michigan

**Summary:** The Board received information that on or around September 11, 2008, the North Carolina Board of Examiners for Engineers and Surveyors entered an order subjecting Mr. Walz to a reprimand, a civil penalty of \$5,000 and a requirement to pass an ethics course, based on deficiencies and false statement in an engineering report. Also, the Board received information that on or around October 30, 2009, the Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers in New Hampshire adopted a settlement agreement by which Mr. Walz was reprimanded and assessed a civil penalty of \$2,500 for failing to report the North Carolina discipline in his New Hampshire renewal. On or around December 23,

**DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS...CONTINUED...**

2008, Mr. Walz submitted an online renewal for his Missouri professional engineer's license. During the renewal, Mr. Walz selected a box on the on line renewal application indicating that he had not been the subject of disciplinary action in any other licensing jurisdiction.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists to discipline Mr. Walz's professional engineering license pursuant to Section 327.441.2(3) by use of fraud, deception, misrepresentation or bribery in securing any license or certificate of authority issued pursuant to this chapter or in obtaining permission to take any examination given or required pursuant to this chapter; and, pursuant to Section 327.441.2(8) by disciplinary action against the holder of a license or a certificate of authority, or other right to practice any profession regulated by this chapter granted by another state, territory, federal agency or country upon grounds for which revocation or suspension is authorized in this state.

**Board Action:** The Board authorized the Attorney General's Office to proceed with settlement. Thereafter on May 9, 2010, Mr. Walz signed an agreement agreeing to place his Professional Engineering license, number PE-2002000617, on probation for a period of one year commencing on June 2, 2010 and ending on June 1, 2011.

**GREGORY CORNWELL ROGERS, PE-2007034900**

Gastonia, North Carolina

**Summary:** The Missouri Board received information that Mr. Rogers' professional engineering license had been disciplined by the South Carolina State Board. On or about December 15, 2008, the South Carolina Board suspended Mr. Rogers' professional engineering license following receipt of information that he had failed to report certain convictions and licensing actions when renewing his license in 2006 and 2008. Mr. Rogers asked for reinstatement. On or about February 19, 2009, the South Carolina Board issued an Order finding that among other things, Mr. Rogers had not disclosed a 2006 trespass conviction on his renewal applications for 2006 and 2008. It also found that Mr. Rogers had not disclosed that he had been denied professional licensure by a committee of the Texas Board. On February 19, 2009, the South Carolina Board voted to deny Mr. Rogers' request for reinstatement of an active license. Thereafter, Mr. Rogers filed for reconsideration of the South Carolina Board's Order. The South Carolina Board considered Mr. Rogers' application. On or about June 8, 2009, the South Carolina Board reinstated Mr. Rogers' professional engineering license and ordered Mr. Rogers to pay a penalty of \$1,000 and placed his South Carolina license on probation for a period of three (3) years.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists to discipline Mr. Rogers' professional engineering license pursuant to Section 327.441.2(8) by disciplinary action against the holder of a license or a certificate of authority, or other right to practice any profession regulated by this chapter granted by another state, territory, federal agency or country upon grounds for which revocation or suspension is authorized in this state.

**Board Action:** The Board authorized the Attorney General's Office to proceed with settlement. Thereafter on March 8, 2010, Mr. Rogers entered into an agreement with the Board, agreeing to his professional engineering license, number PE-2007034900, being placed on probation for a period of one (1) year commencing on June 9, 2010 and ending on June 8, 2011.

**PROBATED LICENSES/CIVIL PENALTIES****TALIAFERRO & BROWNE, INC., LA-2010010460**

Kansas City, Missouri

**Summary:** The Board has received information that since at least 2008, Taliaferro & Browne, Inc. has offered and provided landscape architectural services without having obtained a certificate of authority from the Board to offer or provide such services. It did so by advertising in Missouri that it was a landscape architectural corporation, and by having its employees pass out business cards with such representation on those business cards. On February 25, 2010, Taliaferro & Browne, Inc. filed an application with the Board for a certificate of authority to provide landscape architectural services in the state of Missouri.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists for the Board to deny Taliaferro & Browne, Inc.'s landscape architectural certificate

**DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS...CONTINUED...**

of authority application pursuant to the provisions of Section 327.441.2(5) and (10), RSMo, which state: by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; and, assisting or enabling any person to practice or offer to practice any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter who is not licensed and currently eligible to practice pursuant to this chapter.

**Board Action:** In lieu of denial of Taliaferro & Browne, Inc.'s application for a landscape architectural certificate of authority, the Board authorized a probated license to be issued to Taliaferro & Browne, Inc. Thereafter on March 31, 2010, the Board issued an Order to Taliaferro & Browne, Inc. to pay \$1,000 in civil penalties, which shall be handled in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of article IX of the Missouri Constitution. In determining the amount the Board decided to impose on Taliaferro & Browne, Inc., it considered the deterrent affect the penalty would have, the circumstances that led to the violations, the severity of the violation and risk to the public, the economic benefits gained by Taliaferro & Browne, Inc., and the interests to the public. Within 60 days of the date of the Order, Taliaferro & Browne, Inc. shall issue a cashier's check or money order in the amount of \$1,000 made payable to Q. Troy Thomas, Director of Finance, Jackson County, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund. Upon payment of the civil penalties, the certificate of authority shall be considered in good standing. On or about April 22, 2010, the Board received a cashier's check in the amount of \$1,000 for the civil penalties from Taliaferro & Browne, Inc. On April 22, 2010, the Board mailed the \$1,000 cashier's check to Q. Troy Thomas, Director of Finance, Jackson County, Missouri. Taliaferro & Browne, Inc.'s landscape architectural certificate of authority, number LA-2010010460 is now considered in good standing.

**ERDMANN STREET ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING, INC., A-2009035692**

Springfield, Missouri

**Summary:** On and after September 27, 2000, Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc. offered and provided architectural services without having obtained a certificate of authority from the Board to offer or provide such services. It did so by incorporating in Missouri with a part of its corporate filings to exist for the "purpose of architecture and related services." Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc. also performed architectural services by signing and sealing plans in June 2009. On October 26, 2009, Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc. filed an application with the Board for a certificate of authority to provide architectural services in the state of Missouri.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists for the Board to deny Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc.'s architectural certificate of authority application pursuant to the provisions of Section 327.441.2(5) and (10), RSMo, which state: by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; and, assisting or enabling any person to practice or offer to practice any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter who is not licensed and currently eligible to practice pursuant to this chapter.

**Board Action:** In lieu of denial of Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc.'s application for an architectural certificate of authority, the Board authorized a probated license to be issued to Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc. Thereafter on November 20, 2009, the Board issued an Order to Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc. to pay \$1,000 in civil penalties, which shall be handled in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of article IX of the Missouri Constitution. In determining the amount the Board decided to impose on Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc., it considered the deterrent affect the penalty would have, the circumstances that led to the violations, the severity of the violation and risk to the public, the economic benefits gained by Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc., and the interests to the public. Within 30 days of the date of the Order, Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc. shall issue a cashier's check or money order in the amount of \$1,000 made payable to the Greene County Treasurer, Greene County, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund. Upon payment of the civil penalties, the certificate of authority shall be considered in good standing. On or about January 28, 2010, the Board received a cashier's check in the amount of \$1,000 for the civil penalties from Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc. On January 28, 2010, the Board mailed the \$1,000 cashier's check to the Greene County Treasurer, Greene County, Missouri. Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc.'s architectural certificate of authority, number A-2009035692 is now considered in good standing.



**DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS...CONTINUED...****AEROMET ENGINEERING, INC., E-2009035713**

Jefferson City, Missouri

**Summary:** From and after April 29, 1993, AeroMet Engineering, Inc. offered and provided engineering services without having obtained a certificate of authority from the Board to offer or provide such services by incorporating in Missouri with a part of its corporate statement of purposes to offer to provide engineering services and by including the word "engineering" in its name. On or about November 16, 2009, AeroMet Engineering, Inc. filed an application with the Board for a certificate of authority to provide engineering services in the state of Missouri.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists for the Board to deny AeroMet Engineering, Inc.'s engineering certificate of authority application pursuant to the provisions of Section 327.441.2(5) and (10), RSMo, which state: by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; and, assisting or enabling any person to practice or offer to practice any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter who is not licensed and currently eligible to practice pursuant to this chapter.

**Board Action:** In lieu of denial of AeroMet Engineering, Inc.'s application for an engineering certificate of authority, the Board authorized a probated license to be issued to AeroMet Engineering, Inc. Thereafter on November 20, 2009, the Board issued an Order to AeroMet Engineering, Inc. to pay \$1,000 in civil penalties, which shall be handled in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of article IX of the Missouri Constitution. In determining the amount the Board decided to impose on AeroMet Engineering, Inc., it considered the deterrent affect the penalty would have, the circumstances that led to the violations, the severity of the violation and risk to the public, the economic benefits gained by AeroMet Engineering, Inc., and the interests to the public. Within 30 days of the date of the Order, AeroMet Engineering, Inc. shall issue a cashier's check or money order in the amount of \$1,000 made payable to the Cole County Treasurer, Cole County, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund. Upon payment of the civil penalties, the certificate of authority shall be considered in good standing. On or about December 9, 2009, the Board received a cashier's check in the amount of \$1,000 for the civil penalties from AeroMet Engineering, Inc. On December 11, 2009 the Board mailed the \$1,000 cashier's check to the Cole County Treasurer, Cole County, Missouri. AeroMet Engineering, Inc.'s engineering certificate of authority, number E-2009035713 is now considered in good standing.

**HNTB CORPORATION, A-747**

Kansas City, Missouri

**Summary:** On or after January 1, 2006, HNTB Corporation offered and provided architectural services without having obtained a certificate of authority from the Board to offer or provide such services. It did so by incorporating in Missouri and as part of its corporate statement of purposes to "engage in and provide architectural and/or engineering services." It also provided such architectural services in the state of Missouri without an active corporate certificate of authority to provide such architectural services. On December 24, 2009, HNTB filed with the Board a Request for Reauthorization of Corporate Certificate of Authority Under Original Number.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists for the Board to deny HNTB Corporation's Request for Reauthorization of Corporate Certificate of Authority Under Original Number pursuant to the provisions of Section 327.441.2(5) and (10), RSMo, which state: by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; and, assisting or enabling any person to practice or offer to practice any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter who is not licensed and currently eligible to practice pursuant to this chapter.

**Board Action:** In lieu of denial of HNTB Corporation's Request for Reauthorization of Corporate Certificate of Authority Under Original Number, the Board authorized a probated license to be issued to HNTB Corporation. Thereafter on February 5, 2010, the Board issued an Order to HNTB Corporation to pay \$1,000 in civil penalties, which shall be handled in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of article IX of the Missouri Constitution. In determining the amount the Board decided to impose on HNTB Corporation, it considered the deterrent affect the penalty would have, the circumstances that led to the violations, the severity of the violation and risk to the public, the economic benefits gained by HNTB Corporation, and the interests to the public. Within 30 days of the date of the Order, HNTB Corporation shall issue a cashier's check or money order in the amount of \$1,000 made payable to Q. Troy Thomas, Director of Finance,

**DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS...CONTINUED...**

Jackson County, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund. Upon payment of the civil penalties, the certificate of authority shall be considered in good standing. On or about February 16, 2010, the Board received a cashier's check in the amount of \$1,000 for the civil penalties from HNTB Corporation. On February 16, 2010, the Board mailed the \$1,000 cashier's check to Troy Thomas, Director of Finance for Jackson County, Missouri. HNTB Corporation's architectural certificate of authority, number A-747 is now considered in good standing.

**HNTB CORPORATION, LS-2010009976**

Kansas City, Missouri

**Summary:** On or after December 11, 2002, HNTB Corporation offered and provided professional land surveying services without having obtained a certificate of authority from the Board to offer or provide such services. On December 14, 2009, HNTB Corporation filed an application with the Board for a certificate of authority to provide professional land surveying services in the state of Missouri.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists for the Board to deny HNTB Corporation's land surveying certificate of authority application pursuant to the provisions of Section 327.441.2(5) and (10), RSMo, which state: by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; and, assisting or enabling any person to practice or offer to practice any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter who is not licensed and currently eligible to practice pursuant to this chapter.

**Board Action:** In lieu of denial of HNTB Corporation's application for a land surveying certificate of authority, the Board authorized a probated license to be issued to HNTB Corporation. Thereafter on March 25, 2010, the Board issued an Order to HNTB Corporation to pay \$1,000 in civil penalties, which shall be handled in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of article IX of the Missouri Constitution. In determining the amount the Board decided to impose on HNTB Corporation, it considered the deterrent affect the penalty would have, the circumstances that led to the violations, the severity of the violation and risk to the public, the economic benefits gained by HNTB Corporation, and the interests to the public. Within 60 days of the date of the Order, HNTB Corporation shall issue a cashier's check or money order in the amount of \$1,000 made payable to Q. Troy Thomas, Director of Finance, Jackson County, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund. Upon payment of the civil penalties, the certificate of authority no. LS-2010009976 shall be considered in good standing. On or about April 12, 2010, the Board received a cashier's check in the amount of \$1,000 for the civil penalties from HNTB, Corporation. On April 12, 2010, the Board mailed the \$1,000 cashier's check to Q. Troy Thomas, Director of Finance, Jackson County, Missouri. HNTB Corporation's land surveying certificate of authority, number LS-2010009976 is now considered in good standing.

**ONSITE ENGINEERING, INC., E-2010004074**

Cape Girardeau, Missouri

**Summary:** The Board received information that from January 7, 2002 until the date of the Board's Order, OnSite Engineering, Inc. offered and provided professional engineering services without having obtained a certificate of authority from the Board to offer or provide such services by incorporating in Missouri, and as part of its corporate statement of purposes to provide "engineering consultation advice and services." On December 17, 2009, OnSite Engineering, Inc. filed an application with the Board for a certificate of authority to provide professional engineering services in the state of Missouri.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists for the Board to deny OnSite Engineering, Inc.'s engineering certificate of authority application pursuant to the provisions of Section 327.441.2(5) and (10), RSMo, which state: by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; and, assisting or enabling any person to practice or offer to practice any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter who is not licensed and currently eligible to practice pursuant to this chapter.

**Board Action:** In lieu of denial of OnSite Engineering, Inc.'s application for an engineering certificate of authority, the Board authorized a probated license to be issued to OnSite Engineering, Inc. Thereafter on February 5, 2010, the Board issued an Order to OnSite Engineering, Inc. to pay \$1,000 in civil penalties, which shall be handled in accordance with

**DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS...CONTINUED...**

the provisions of section 7 of article IX of the Missouri Constitution. In determining the amount the Board decided to impose on OnSite Engineering, Inc., it considered the deterrent affect the penalty would have, the circumstances that led to the violations, the severity of the violation and risk to the public, the economic benefits gained by OnSite Engineering, Inc., and the interests to the public. Within 30 days of the date of the Order, OnSite Engineering, Inc. shall issue a cashier's check or money order in the amount of \$1,000 made payable to Roger Hudson, Treasurer of Cape Girardeau County, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund. Upon payment of the civil penalties, the certificate of authority shall be considered in good standing. On or about February 16, 2010, the Board received a cashier's check in the amount of \$1,000 for the civil penalties from OnSite Engineering, Inc. On February 16, 2010, the Board mailed the \$1,000 cashier's check to Roger Hudson, Cape Girardeau County Treasurer, Missouri. OnSite Engineering, Inc.'s engineering certificate of authority, number E-2010004074 is now considered in good standing.

**TREAT ARCHITECTS, P.C., A-2010005188**

Branson, Missouri

**Summary:** The Board received information that from March 10, 1999 until the date of the Board's Order, Treat Architects, P.C. had offered and provided architectural services without having obtained a certificate of authority from the Board to offer or provide such services by incorporating in Missouri with a part of its corporate statement of purposes "to render architectural services to the public." Treat Architects, P.C. also advertised such services on a website, which was [www.jagarch.com](http://www.jagarch.com). On or about January 22, 2010, Treat Architects, P.C. filed an application with the Board for a certificate of authority to provide architectural services in the state of Missouri.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists for the Board to deny Treat Architects, P.C.'s architectural certificate of authority application pursuant to the provisions of Section 327.441.2(5) and (10), RSMo, which state: by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; and, assisting or enabling any person to practice or offer to practice any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter who is not licensed and currently eligible to practice pursuant to this chapter.

**Board Action:** In lieu of denial of Treat Architects, P.C.'s application for an architectural certificate of authority, the Board authorized a probated license to be issued to Treat Architects, P.C. Thereafter on February 16, 2010, the Board issued an Order to Treat Architects, P.C. to pay \$1,000 in civil penalties, which shall be handled in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of article IX of the Missouri Constitution. In determining the amount the Board decided to impose on Treat Architects, P.C., it considered the deterrent affect the penalty would have, the circumstances that led to the violations, the severity of the violation and risk to the public, the economic benefits gained by Treat Architects, P.C., and the interests to the public. Within 60 days of the date of the Order, Treat Architects, P.C. shall issue a cashier's check or money order in the amount of \$1,000 made payable to Helen Souttee, Treasurer of Taney County, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund. Upon payment of the civil penalties, the certificate of authority shall be considered in good standing.

**TREAT ARCHITECTS, P.C., E-2010011387**

Branson, Missouri

**Summary:** The Board received information that on or after 1992, Treat Architects, P.C. offered and provided professional engineering services without having obtained a certificate of authority from the Board to offer or provide such services by advertising such on a website, which was [www.jaarch.com](http://www.jaarch.com). On or about March 12, 2010, Treat Architects, P.C. filed an application with the Board for a certificate of authority to provide engineering services in the state of Missouri.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists for the Board to deny Treat Architects, P.C.'s engineering certificate of authority application pursuant to the provisions of Section 327.441.2 (5) and (10), RSMo, which state: by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; and assisting or enabling any person to practice or offer to practice any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter who is not licensed and currently eligible to practice pursuant to this chapter.

**Board Action:** In lieu of denial of Treat Architects, P.C.'s application for an engineering certificate of authority, the Board authorized a probated license to be issued to Treat Architects, P.C. Thereafter on May 12, 2010, the Board issued an

**DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS...CONTINUED...**

Order to Treat Architects, P.C. to pay \$1,000 in civil penalties, which shall be handled in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of article IX of the Missouri Constitution. In determining the amount the Board decided to impose on Treat Architects, P.C., it considered the deterrent affect the penalty would have, the circumstances that led to the violations, the severity of the violation and risk to the public, the economic benefits gained by Treat Architects, P.C., and the interests to the public. Within 60 days of the date of the Order, Treat Architects, P.C. shall issue a cashier's check or money order in the amount of \$1,000 made payable to Helen Soutee, Treasurer of Taney County, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund. Upon payment of the civil penalties, the certificate of authority shall be considered in good standing.

**VIOLATION OF DISCIPLINE****KLITZING WELSCH ASSOCIATES, INC., A-2009024038**

St. Louis, Missouri

**Summary:** Klitzing Welsch Associates, Inc. failed to pay the civil penalty that was previously assessed to them within the timeframe required in the order of discipline dated August 10, 2009. Therefore, the Board voted to hold a probation violation hearing on January 26, 2010. After receiving notice of the probation violation hearing, Klitzing Welsch Associates, Inc. mailed to the Board a cashier's check in the amount of \$1,000 for the civil penalties. On January 6, 2010, the Board mailed the \$1,000 cashier's check to Larry C. Williams, Treasurer of St. Louis, Missouri, for payment to the county school fund.

**Cause for Discipline:** Violation of original order by failing to pay the civil penalty in the timeframe required.

**Board Action:** On January 26, 2010, the Board held its probation violation hearing and due to Klitzing Welsch Associates Inc.'s failure to timely pay the civil penalty, the Board voted to place its Corporate Certificate of Authority on probation for a period of one year commencing on February 4, 2010 and ending on February 3, 2011.

**PUBLIC CENSURES****RALPH P. KLIETHERMES, LS-2159**

Linn, Missouri

**Summary:** This file originated when the Board received a written complaint alleging that Mr. Kliethermes set a survey marker that incorrectly defined a property boundary. As a result of Mr. Kliethermes' marker, the boundary for the property excluded a triangular section of approximately 3,100 square feet that should have been part of the property in question.

**Cause for Discipline:** Cause exists to discipline Mr. Kliethermes' professional land surveyor's license pursuant to Section 327.441.2(5) by incompetency, misconduct, gross negligence, fraud, misrepresentation or dishonesty in the performance of the functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated by this chapter; Section 327.441.2(6) by violation of, or assisting or enabling any person to violate, any provision of this chapter, or of any lawful rule or regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter; and, Section 327.441.2(13) by violation of any professional trust or confidence.

**Board Action:** The Board authorized the Attorney General's Office to proceed with settlement. Thereafter on March 8, 2010, Mr. Kliethermes entered into an agreement with the Board, agreeing to his professional land surveying license, number LS-2159, being censured.

**CHANDER P. NANGIA, E-16817**

Houston, Texas

**Summary:** On February 22, 2007, Mr. Nangia surrendered his professional engineering license in the state of Alaska in order to resolve a matter, which involved allegations that Mr. Nangia did not report licensing actions in other jurisdictions when renewing his Alaska license, and that he sealed and signed documents for which he did not have direct professional knowledge or direct supervisory control.



**DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS...CONTINUED...**

**Cause for Discipline:** Mr. Nangia violated Section 327.441.2(8), RSMo by disciplinary action against the holder of a license or a certificate of authority, or other right to practice any profession regulated by this chapter granted by another state, territory, federal agency or country upon grounds for which revocation or suspension is authorized in this state.

**Board Action:** The Board authorized the Attorney General's Office to proceed with settlement. Thereafter on March 11, 2010, Mr. Nangia entered into an agreement with the Board, agreeing to his professional engineering license, number E-16817, being censured.

## **HOUSE BILL 600 SUSPENSIONS**

**PAUL JOSEPH MERKL, A-7539**

Phoenix, Arizona

**Summary and Action:** Mr. Merkl's architectural license, number A-7539 was suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo on November 21, 2009.

**MARTIN A. LUCAS, LS-1857**

East Prairie, Missouri

**Summary and Action:** Mr. Lucas' professional land surveying license, number LS-1857 was suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo on November 21, 2009.

**MYRON M. GRIMME, LA-69**

St. Louis, Missouri

**Summary and Action:** Mr. Grimme's landscape architectural license, number LA-69 was suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo on November 21, 2009.

**MARIO P. GOMEZ, PE-19007**

Ladue, Missouri

**Summary and Action:** Mr. Gomez's professional engineering license, number PE-19007 was suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo on November 21, 2009.

**FREDERICK HENRY HELD, III, PE-2006019664**

St. Charles, Missouri

**Summary and Action:** Mr. Held's professional engineering license, number PE-2006019664 was suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo on November 21, 2009.

**HAROLD E. MCCORMICK, PE-10641**

Ballwin, Missouri

**Summary and Action:** Mr. McCormick's professional engineering license, number PE-10641 was suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo on November 21, 2009.

**RICHARD F. STALZER, JR., PE-26478**

Ozark, Missouri

**Summary and Action:** Mr. Stalzer's professional engineering license, number PE-26478 was suspended pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo on November 21, 2009.

## OUTGOING BOARD MEMBERS



**Kathleen (Kathy) Warman**

On behalf of the Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors and Landscape Architects, we would like to sincerely thank Kathleen (Kathy) Warman for her dedication to the Board and exemplary services rendered to the people of the state of Missouri while serving on the Board. Kathy served as a member of the Architectural Division from April 23, 2002 to December 17, 2009.

The work ethics and commitment on the part of Kathy will certainly be missed. She was a wonderful asset to the Board. We wish her the very best with her future endeavors.

## KENNETH (KEN) FRASHIER, AIA & KATHY WEBB ACHELPOHL, AIA LEED AP NEW MEMBERS OF THE ARCHITECTURAL DIVISION OF THE BOARD



**Kenneth (Ken) Frashier**



**Kathy Webb Achelpohl**

**Kenneth (Ken) M. Frashier, A-2139, and Kathy W. Achelpohl, A-5485**, have been appointed by Governor Jay Nixon to serve as members of the Architectural Division of the Board. Both appointments went into effect on December 17, 2009. Ken Frashier fills a vacant position and Kathy Achelpohl replaces Kathy Warman.

**Kenneth M. Frashier, AIA** – Ken founded the current firm of Kenneth M. Frashier & Associates, PC 33 years ago, and currently serves as president. Ken is a licensed architect with more than 45 years of design experience in retail, interiors, industrial, custom residential, and banks. He has developed an expertise in planning and zoning work, and has appeared before planning commissions and city councils with most cities in the Kansas City metropolitan area.

**NEW MEMBERS OF ARCHITECTURAL DIVISION OF THE BOARD...CONTINUED...**

Ken is a licensed architect in Missouri and Kansas, and has held licenses in Nebraska and Illinois. He has been certified by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards, and is a corporate member of the American Institute of Architects. He graduated from Kansas State University in 1963 with a Bachelor of Architecture degree.

Ken has served as vice-chairman of the Leawood, Kansas Planning Commission, and is a past member of the Construction Industry Affairs Council. He is a past commodore of the Missouri Yacht Club, and was a director of the Lake Lotawana Association. He is currently chairman of the Lake Lotawana Board of Adjustments. He has been a member of the Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors and Landscape Architects since January of this year. He was also a member of the Lee's Summit Rotary Club, and a charter member of the Plaza Club of Kansas City.

**Kathy Webb Achelpohl, AIA LEED AP** – Kathy is a Principal with BNIM. With over 25 years of experience in architecture, Kathy has extensive experience leading complex projects and multi-disciplinary teams on high profile projects in both Kansas City and beyond. A skilled communicator, one of Kathy's many strengths is her ability to improve communication both on her project teams and within the firm. Kathy is a licensed architect and holds Bachelors degrees from the University of Kansas in both Environmental Design and Architecture; she also received training in Edinburgh from Heriot-Watt University as a Ewart Scholar.

Kathy recently served as a team leader for the Bloch Building Addition at the Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art (in collaboration with Steven Holl), and she has proven management and project architecture skills on projects for The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Montana State University, The City of Austin and the Austin Independent School District. Before joining BNIM, Kathy served as a project architect for a number of projects including the Hoechst Marion Roussel North American Headquarters in SE Kansas City (in collaboration with the Hillier Group), and various museum projects while with Edward Larrabee Barnes in New York City.

Kathy is active in the community and serves as a commissioner for the Kansas City Missouri Municipal Art Commission. She is also active in the Arts Council of Metropolitan Kansas City and is an alumnus of the Greater Kansas City Chamber of Commerce Centurions Leadership Program. She is also past chair of the Volunteer Council for the Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art.

## **A LETTER FROM THE DIRECTOR**

**By: Jane A. Rackers, Division Director**



In every profession there are leaders who command the respect of their peers for their professional expertise, ethics and fairness. The way they conduct themselves in their job becomes the standard for others to follow. They are the kind of people we need to serve on our professional licensing boards.

The APELSLA board is made up of fourteen members, including one chairperson, three architects, three professional engineers, three land surveyors, three landscape architects and one public member. Each professional member must be a resident of Missouri for at least five years and engaged in the active practice of their profession for at least ten consecutive years.

Currently, there are two vacancies on the board and four members serving on expired terms.

Governor Nixon wants all of the boards to "look like Missouri." He wants diversity of practice specialty, geography, gender, race and ethnicity.

If you know someone who would be an excellent member, please encourage them to apply.

For more information about the qualifications for membership on the board or how to apply, go to the Governor's website at [www.governor.mo.gov](http://www.governor.mo.gov) and click on "Boards and Commissions," or call me at (573) 751-1081.

# YEAR END REPORT

## NUMBER OF EXAMINATIONS ADMINISTERED

### A.R.E. – Version 3.1 - January 1, 2009 – December 31, 2009

• Pre-Design	- 22 Candidates - 14 Passed - 8 Failed	• Construction Documents & Services	- 25 Candidates - 14 Passed - 11 Failed
• Site Planning	- 41 Candidates - 26 Passed - 15 Failed	• Programming, Planning & Practice	- 29 Candidates - 9 Passed - 20 Failed
• Building Planning	- 28 Candidates - 21 Passed - 7 Failed	• Schematic Design	- 35 Candidates - 11 Passed - 24 Failed
• Building Technology	- 42 Candidates - 21 Passed - 21 Failed	• Site Planning & Design	- 30 Candidates - 11 Passed - 19 Failed
• General Structures	- 19 Candidates - 13 Passed - 6 Failed	• Structural Systems	- 19 Candidates - 9 Passed - 10 Failed
• Lateral Forces	- 19 Candidates - 13 Passed - 6 Failed	Professional Engineering Examinations (April, 2009 and October, 2009)	- 393 Candidates - 237 Passed - 156 Failed
• Mechanical & Electrical Systems	- 15 Candidates - 7 Passed - 8 Failed	Engineering Intern Examinations (April, 2009 and October, 2009)	- 1,056 Candidates - 651 Passed - 405 Failed
• Materials & Methods	- 4 Candidates - 4 Passed - 0 Failed	Principles and Practice of Land Surveying Examinations (April, 2009 and October, 2009)	- 14 Candidates - 6 Passed - 8 Failed
• Construction Document Service	- 9 Candidates - 8 Passed - 1 Failed	Missouri Specific of Land Surveying (April, 2009 and October, 2009)	- 50 Candidates - 18 Passed - 32 Failed

### A.R.E. - Version 4.0 - January 1, 2009 – December 31, 2009

• Building Design & Construction System	- 20 Candidates - 6 Passed - 14 Failed	Fundamentals of Land Surveying Examinations (April, 2009 and October, 2009)	- 32 Candidates - 21 Passed - 11 Failed
• Building Systems	- 19 Candidates - 9 Passed - 10 Failed		



## YEAR END REPORT...CONTINUED...

**NUMBER OF REGISTRATIONS GRANTED BY COMITY**

Architectural	108
Engineering	444
Land Surveying	8
Landscape Architects	15

**NUMBER OF CORPORATE CERTIFICATES OF AUTHORITY ISSUED:**

Architectural	80
Engineering	131
Land Surveying	26
Landscape Architectural	6

**TOTAL OF MISSOURI LICENSEES**

Architects	4,381
Professional Engineers	14,325
Land Surveyors	928
Landscape Architects	333
Total Individual Licensees	19,967

Total Engineer Interns Enrolled	27,702
---------------------------------	--------

Total Land Surveyors-in-Training Enrolled	418
---	-----

Architects with Inactive Status	421
Professional Engineers with Inactive Status	2,266
Land Surveyors with Inactive Status	114
Landscape Architects with Inactive Status	0
Total Individual Licensees with Inactive Status	2,801

Architectural Corporations	658
Engineering Corporations	1,321
Land Surveying Corporations	277
Landscape Architectural Corporations	67
Total Corporate Licenses	2,323

**STATISTICS FOR 2009****COMPLAINTS:** (Pending at Beginning of 2009 – 86)**Breakdown of New Complaints Filed in 2009**

Unlicensed persons practicing architecture	13
Unlicensed persons practicing architecture and engineering	9
Unlicensed persons practicing engineering	7
Unlicensed persons practicing land surveying	2
Unlicensed persons practicing landscape architecture	2
Architects practicing engineering	2
Engineers practicing architecture	2

Engineers practicing land surveying	0
Land Surveyors practicing engineering	0
Landscape Architects practicing architecture	0
Landscape Architects practicing engineering	0
Architects charged with unprofessional conduct	2
Engineers charged with unprofessional conduct	4
Land Surveyors charge with unprofessional conduct	0
Landscape Architects charged with unprofessional conduct	0
Architects disciplined in another state	1
Engineers disciplined in another state	7
Land Surveyors disciplined in another state	5
Landscape Architects disciplined in another state	0
Federal Exemption Clause	0
Offering architectural services without a Corporate Certificate of Authority	10
Offering engineering services without a Corporate Certificate of Authority	17
Offering land surveying services without a Corporate Certificate of Authority	18
Offering landscape architecture without a Corporate Certificate of Authority	4
Architects/Engineers/Surveyors/Landscape Architects charged with fraud, incompetency or negligence	20
Land Surveyors charged with incompetent surveys, inaccurate surveys, or Surveyors that do not meet Minimum Standards	9
Failure to Provide Immediate Personal Supervision	2
Other violation	3

**Total Number of New Complaints filed in 2009: 139****Breakdown of Complaint Files Closed In 2009**

Formal censure based on cause of discipline	0
Placed on probation	7
Probation/Civil Penalty	16
Suspension followed by probation	3
House Bill 600 Suspensions	8
Injunction obtained	0
License revoked	1
Insufficient evidence of violation, no action taken	21
Not within the jurisdiction of the Board	8
Satisfactory completion of probation	1
Voluntary surrender of license	1
Complaint was withdrawn by complainant	0
Corporate Certificate of Authority was obtained	7
Written assurances to either cease and desist or to comply w/Chapter 327 received	9
Board letter (violation did not warrant further action)	12
Corrective Action was taken	34
Other Action	1

**Total Number of Complaint Files Closed in 2009: 129**

## YEAR END REPORT...CONTINUED...

**UNLICENSED PRACTICE:**

As you can see in 2009 the Board had 37 complaints filed against individuals and 49 complaints filed against corporations which were charged with practicing architecture, professional engineering, professional land surveying and/or landscape architecture without being properly licensed. If an individual or corporation refuses to voluntarily cease and desist all illegal activities and to conduct all future activities in compliance with Chapter 327, then the Board can request the Attorney General's office to file suit in the appropriate circuit court seeking an injunction to restrain that individual or corporation from practicing architecture, professional engineering, professional land surveying and/or landscape architecture without a license. Another option the Board has is to refer the matter to the Attorney General's Office to seek authority to assess a civil penalty. A civil penalty imposed under Section 327.077 can be as high as \$5,000 for each offense. However, each day of a continued violation constitutes a separate offense, with a maximum penalty of \$25,000. The Board assessed 16 civil penalties in 2009.

**NEW LICENSEES**

The following individuals and corporations were licensed between November 1, 2009 and April 30, 2010

**ARCHITECT LICENSEES**

Ajalli, Ali	English, Theresa M.	Kinsey, Caryl Ann	Roach, Daniel Shea
Albrecht, Walter Ray	Everham, Kevin T.	Koch, Wayne Albin	Schaub, David Joseph
Anderson, Nanon Adair	Fautsch, Stephen J.	Kripa, Ersela	Senninger, David
Atha, Megan R.	Fowler, Chad E.	Kurtz, Victor Robert, III	Shea, Nathaniel William
Bargmann, Jay Douglas	Frens, Dale H.	Lau, Stanley Tat-Yeung	Siegele, Tyson
Baunach, William D.	Frohling, David Michael	Lavin, Donald P.	Sipe, Frank Allen
Billingsley, Steven D.	Fronick, Sarah M.	Long, Ryan Dean	Street, Eric Chadwick
Busch, David D.	Fuller, John E.	Maass, Andrew Scott	Stuber, Richard Lee
Bushhouse, Mark S.	Furnstahl, Stephen	Macaluso, Dominick	Sutton, Richard D.
Caine, Ian S.	Gallow, Douglas J., Jr.	Mann, James Edward	Thompson, Duane C.
Campbell, C. Kevin	Gapultos, Aimee M.	McNabb, John Michael	Tripp, Geoffrey Anthony
Coats, Michael Anthony, Jr.	Garvey, Brian L.	Meyer, Owen P.	Tristano, Thomas M.
Cockmon, Gregory B.	Gehrki, Bernard Joseph, Sr.	Migneron, Christopher M	Turckes, Steven Richard
Coleman, David W.	Gensburg, Sara E. F.	Moore, G. Dennis	Vassos, Paul M.
Corva, Angelo Francis	Gerken, Logan	Mrak, Joseph Matthew	Wallace, Gregory T.
Crabel, Kenneth E.	Grunzinger, Adam	Myers, Ellis	Watkins, David
Crabel, Nicole	Haake, James Richard	Ogata, Alejandro	Watkins, Kenneth W.
Crawford, Richard Ralston	Hill, Martin L.	Patneau, Kyle A.	Welch, Richard D.
Darlington, Robert William	Hoffman, Eric Reid	Perez, Marcia	Weston, Ronald Carl
Dee, James Edward	Hurt, Douglas J.	Perkins, Scott Barten	Wilson, Ian
Dillon, Cecelia P.	Jensen, William C.	Pocorobba, John Salvatore	Wood, Daniel R.
Dubin, Peter A.	Kash, Jennifer L.	Powell, Milt	
Dudley, Bradford John	Kastner, Michael Joseph	Reinhardt, J. Daniel	
Eidson, Kevin Dean	Kennedy, Matthew Edward	Ricks, Cecil Edward	

## NEW LICENSEES...CONTINUED...

**ARCHITECTURAL CORPORATIONS**

Agape Construction Co., Inc.  
 Agency Architecture, LLC  
 Architect; Garen Miller, Inc.  
 Architects Design Group, Inc.  
 Architectural Design Resource, Inc.  
 Beringer Ciccio Dennell Mabrey, Inc.  
 Bodensab Architects, LLC  
 Christopher Kidd & Associates, LLC  
 Cook Phillips Architecture, Inc.  
 CTSM Architects, Inc.  
 DeGasperi & Associates Architecture, Inc.  
 Envisionone LLC  
 Erdmann Street Architecture & Planning, Inc.  
 Form Field Studio LLC  
 H2B Architects, Inc.  
 Hanbury Evans Wright Vlattas & Company, P.C.  
 Haskell Architects and Engineers, P.C.  
 Heckman & Associates, P.C.  
 Hellmuth & Bicknese Architects, LLC  
 Insight Design Architects, LLC  
 Intelligent Line A+D, LLC  
 Kenneth M. Frashier & Associates, P.C. %  
 M Design, LLC  
 McDonough Associates, Inc.  
 McMillan Pazdan Smith LLC  
 MtM Design LLC  
 Nolte & Associates, P.C.  
 OWP/P Cannon Design, Inc.  
 Paragon Architecture, Inc.  
 Rafael Vinoly, Architects P.C.  
 RSP International, LLC  
 Scott Associates LLC  
 Sherman-Carter-Barnhart, P.C.  
 Space, LLC  
 St. Louis Design Alliance, Inc.  
 Susan Bower, LLC  
 Torgerson Ragsdale, LLC  
 Treat Architects, P.C.  
 V Three Studios, LLC  
 Webber/Smith Associates, Inc.  
 Whittaker Group of Missouri, Inc.  
 Wilbanks & Associates, Inc.  
 Winzen Millennium Design, LLC  
 Wiser Company, LLC of Tennessee

**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER LICENSEES**

Abboud, George A.	Biby, Robert D.	Burke, Aaron Osmun	Crumley, Scott
Abramson, Alan B.	Bier, Karla J.	Butler, William Rhett, II	Cutshaw, Timothy R.
Adams, Jared J.	Bjorklund, Eric J.	Butt, Paul E.	Daniels, Jason L.
Adams, Stephen E.	Blizzard, Bryan A.	Campbell, Brandon Douglas	Danielson, Robert Andrew
Aden, William Brantner	Bogle, Ernest Lee	Cantley, Travis	de Greck, Thomas John
Alpaslan, Omer Sinan	Bommarito, Clement	Carney, Thomas Craig	De la Garza, Victor J.
Alvarez, Virgilio E.	Bonebrake, Brian J.	Carron, Brian W.	DeMent, Brian W.
Alvine, Douglas Raymond	Borrel, Rene' Jean-Luc	Casey, Corey Vincent	Denney, Thomas H.
Amato, Vincent Edward	Bowen, Brian D.	Casterline, Douglas J.	Dihle, Denise M.
Anderson, Lynn M.	Boyt, Benjamin P.	Chambers, Michael D.	Du Bois, Peter F.
Andres, James C.	Bradley, Mark	Champlin, Jeffrey Robert	Du, Juan
Araujo, Juan Alberto	Braithwaite, Jeremy Andrew	Chandler, Matthew Franklin	Duehning, James
Arcuri, Dominick Victor	Brandt, Ryan Alexander	Chapman, Lee Bradley	Dumack, Heath Alan
Arms, Ward G.	Branham, David Todd	Cheng, Shui Tuang	Dunnaway, Cynthia Ann
Arnold, Nathan E.	Branham, Nathan Dale	Claspihl, Wade Allen	El-Bahy, Ashraf F.
Atkins, Brandon I.	Branscomb, Dustin Quinan	Clemson, Robert L., Jr.	Emming, Michael George
Bahr, Eric S.	Brooks, Christopher Lee	Clodfelter, Dennis Bloys	Epperly, Caroline J.
Bailey, Quinn Logan	Brooks, Jon E.	Collins, Phillip J.	Erschen, Benjamin Joseph
Baird, Jeffery Paul	Bruce, David Michael	Conway, Mark Gerard	Fernandez, Marino D.
Bandy, Audra Kristina	Brunin, Michael D.	Cooper, Steven M.	Fink, Diane
Barrett, Justin Jon	Bruns, Margaret Ann	Corder, Bradley Scott	Fisher, Matthew
Bartosiewicz, Thomas R.	Bruns, Michael Philip	Correnti, Nicholas Michael	Fitzpatrick, Kevin J.
Belyea, Jeff	Bryan, Shawn R.	Costenaro, David Michael	Fossum, Bradley K.
Bender, Lowell J.	Burbage, George Gregory	Craig, Dustin Levi	Foster, Mark Henry
Bentler, Joseph Gerhard	Burchardt, Karen E.	Crook, Troy R.	Fouladgar, Amir M.
Bergsten, Joshua Dean	Burger, Brian Russ	Crowley, Gregory D.	Fuehne, Jason C.

## NEW LICENSEES...CONTINUED...

Fuller, Kurt T.	Keller, Mark Steven	Murray, David Allen	Smith, Michael David, Jr.
Gallagher, Chris J.	Kelly, Michael D.	Muse, Tommy C., Jr.	Smith, Ronald Lee
Galvez, Manuel F.	Kelsey, Kelly A.	Naser, Justin Don	Snider, Travis Wade
Garnass, Leif A.	Kent, Stephen William	Nelson, Scott A.	Snyder, Mark
Gamer, Patrick J.	Knochel, Joseph A. J.	Nichols, Matthew J.	Spang, Richard M.
Gee, Duane J.	Koch, Timothy E.	Nicholson, Brian D.	Spencer, Matthew Brian
Gentile, Russell J.	Kosloski, David Richard	Niesen, Benjamin Michael	Steiner, Casey John
Gilbert, Kenneth D.	Kulesa, Joseph W.	Ollendike, Erin Kay	Stephens, Jesse Ray
Glaser, Laura Anne	Laiho, Nicole Suzanne	Olsen, Donovan L.	Stewart, David Christopher
Glenn, Brian L.	Lal, Nilesh R.	O'Shea, Jillyn K.	Stica, Zachary Ray
Gliko, Joshua Lee	Lamport, Chris	Palin, Jeffrey D.	Stith, Leslie A.
Golinghorst, Kevin Richard	Larson, Lisa A.	Parrish, Brandon Ross	Stobbe, David M.
Gray, Marty A.	Lawson, Chad Ryan	Penny, Jon D.	Stovall, David Lynn
Greer, Jeff W.	Letchworth, Matthew Bradley	Peno, Ashlee N.	Stuckey, Kenneth B.
Grube, Todd R.	Licari, Laura Kathleen	Pfeiffer, Travis William	Stump, Travis S.
Gurgenli, Hakan	Licari, Richard John, III	Polce, Jack Lewis	Swarts, Kurt J.
Haggard, Joseph Henry	Lindahl, Leif L.	Pong, Simon	Swingle, Marshall James
Hahm, Joel Andrew	Lindsey, Russell Eugene	Porebski, James J.	Talbot, Mark Edward
Haid, Daniel Patrick	Litsinger, Russ R.	Pynn, Kyle Fenton	Taneja, Pankaj
Haines, Jayson Eayre	Litton, Tony R.	Raab, John F.	Teeple, Phillip D.
Hake, Patrick J.	Lockeby, Glenn Allen	Randol, Christine Elizabeth	Tencleve, Keith Douglas
Hammer, Gerald Lee	Lorentz, Thomas E.	Rasmussen, Bryan	Thomas, John A., Jr.
Haney, Dwight Douglas	Lorenz, Randy J.	Reder, Jeffrey Michael	Thomson, Joseph J.
Harris, Brent C.	Loser, Matthew Wayne	Reese, Brian R.	Thurman, Abby Lane
Harris, Gregory E.	Lutz, Daniel George	Richard, Donald E.	Timpson, Erik
Headrick, Reggie D.	Mackey, James W.	Robinson, Keith D.	Trainor, Kimberly Marie
Hedgecock, Angela Dee	Madsen, Lindsay C.	Rohrbacker, Timothy	Trickel, John P.
Hefley, Allen Joseph	Malguamera, Salvatore C.	Rose, Douglas Allan	Tsao, Lungshen
Heier, Dennis	Malone, Michael James	Rowser, Austin E.	VanKleek, Patrick D.
Heller, Burton L.	Mangindin, Lawrence P.	Rozman, Thomas P.	Vega, Henry V.
Hendren, Joseph Scott	Mark, Jared James	Rubalcava, Anthony A.	Veltri, William P.
Henry, Caleb M.	Marshall, David R.	Russell, Michael Anthony	Venture, James Michael
Herberger, David Wayne	Martin, Patrick D.	Safford, Christopher Michael	Walker, Larry Wesley
Hermesen, Scott S.	Mathias, Debra K.	Samuel, Abraham	Walker, Zachary Seth
Herrle, Kenneth William	Maurer, Timothy Joseph	Sanders, Gregory W.	Walsh, Scott Michael
Higgins, James Patrick	McCrate, Timothy E.	Saxton, Daniel G.	Wang, Yu-Sheng
Hills, Donald Austin	McCune, Thomas M.	Schlebusch, Marc	Welter, Joseph Benedict
Hjelle, Erik Mark	McEachron, Adam M.	Schmitt, Tony Randal	Wheeler, Jeffrey G.
Hladky, Nathan Wayne	McKale, Dennis Charles	Schreckenberg, Jason	White, Thomas C.
Holdener, David James, Jr.	McKinney, Warren W.	Schultheis, Todd A.	Whitehead, Jeffrey Robert
Holland, Kevin K.	McVey, Jennifer L.	Schwartz, David Emory	Whitten, Aaron Gardener
Honer, Thomas DuWayne	Mercer, Ben G.	Scott, Brian Lee	Wilkinson, David Frank
Hutchinson, Ted F.	Mesik, Jonathan M.	Sesler, Lee Franklin	Williams, Ronnie L.
Hutton, Jessica M.	Metcalf, Marion Jay	Shah, Paresh N.	Williams, Steven R.
Irvin, Kyle Don	Meyer, John Eric	Sherman, Jacob P.	Willis, Brittney Martin
Jackson, Mike A.	Miles, Mary Margaret	Siers, Dennis Gene	Wilson, Cory Michael
Jacobs, Darrell William	Miller, Keith Andrews	Simmons, David Joseph	Wiltse, Vern
Jasper, Corey Michael	Miller, Stephen Joel	Skelly, Joshua James	Winslett, Robin
Johannsson, Elias	Mills, Benjamin H.	Skidmore, Kenneth R.	Worthington, Corry Lyn
Johnson, Michael Shane	Mills, Bobby Lance	Skrabut, Russell A.	Xavier, Rajesh
Jones, William S., Jr.	Montgomery, Billy A.	Slattery, Neal Roderick	Young, Chia-Wei
Kaan, Benjamin Nicolaas	Moore, Laura Leah	Smith, Abraham Joseph	Zoll, Steve Glennon
Kallberg, Keith T.	Moss, Paul Robert	Smith, Daniel J.	
Kazin, Bryan Edward	Muckel, David Paul	Smith, Dirk Edward	
Keeven, John R.	Mulrooney, Timothy Michael	Smith, Erin S.	



## NEW LICENSEES...CONTINUED...

**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING CORPORATIONS**

A. Leo Pelds Engineering Co.	M Engineering, LLC
ABNA of Illinois, Inc.	M.A. Engineering Inc.
AeroMet Engineering, Inc.	Manley Structural Engineers, P.C.
Agricultural Engineering Associates, Inc.	Mann Engineering, LLC
Alfred Benesch & Company, Inc.	Marathon Civil Engineers, LLC
American Electric Power Service Corporation	Master Mechanical Contractors, Inc.
ARCADIS U.S., Inc.	Maurer-Stutz, Inc.
Astec, Inc.	MB Mitchell, LLC
Atwell, LLC	McEntee Engineering and Design, Inc.
Axis Engineering, LLC	Mesa Associates, Inc.
Blevins and Bradbury, Inc.	Midwest Research Institute
Bluefield Process Safety, LLC	Natgun Corporation
BlueScope Buildings North America, Inc.	Nicholas Lombardi Consulting, LLC
Brian J Mills Engineering LLC	OnSite Engineering, Inc.
Briner Electric Company	Optimal Engineering Solutions OES, Inc.
BTM Engineering, Inc.	OWP/P Cannon Design, Inc.
CB&I Inc.	Prudent Technologies, Inc.
Concurrent Technologies Corporation of Missouri	R. W. Beck, Inc.
Crown Castle USA, Inc.	Ramaker & Associates, Inc.
CSC Engineering Associates, LLC	RLM & Associates, LLC
David Plank Engineering Consultant, P.C.	Roaring Brook Consultants, Inc.
DeVita & Associates, Inc.	Rooney Engineering, Inc.
DFP Environmental Consulting, LLC	Ruby + Associates, Inc.
DIS-TRAN Packaged Substations, LLC	Sabre Communications Corporation
e Solutions LLC	Schmidt & Stacy Consulting Engineers, Inc.
Eastpointe Engineering Group, LLC	Schnabel Engineering Consultants, Inc.
EWB Engineering, LLC	Schober Engineering, L.L.C.
Fisher-Rosemount Systems, Inc.	Shalom Services Corporation
Fullerton Engineering Consultants, INC.	Sherman-Carter-Barnhart, P.C.
Gilmor & Doyle, Ltd.	Structural Design Solutions, LLC
Haskell Architects and Engineers, P.C.	Technical Power Services - Oklahoma, LLC
Henrichs Engineering & Associates, L.L.C.	Three Sixty Engineering, Inc.
HG Consult, Inc.	TITAN Engineering, Inc.
Independent Forensic Investigations Corporation	Treat Architects, P.C.
InfraStructure (MO), LLC	Triplett-King & Associates, Inc.
Innovative Engineering Solutions, Inc.	Ulteig Engineers, Inc.
Integrated Design Group, Inc.	Van Kirk Engineering Inc.
IPS Engineering, LLC	Vealvarez & Partners Missouri LLC
James Engineering Services, LLC	Vincent Kunderman, PE, LLC
JGH Missouri Engineering Company, LLC	Wilson Engineering, LLC
Kenneth J. Sidorowicz PC	Wiser Company, LLC of Tennessee
Krudwig & Associates, Inc.	WLA Consulting, Inc.
L. A. Fuess Partners Inc.	

NEW LICENSEES...CONTINUED...

**PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR LICENSEES**

Anderson, Jenifer Kay  
Anderson, Robert J.  
Cole, Jonathan Andrew  
Jones, Steven A.  
Marino, Stephen Irwin, II  
Maurin, J. Paul, IV  
Shelton, Isac William  
Throesch, Terry W.  
Weakley, James Vernon

**PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYING CORPORATIONS**

A. Leo Pelds Engineering Company  
ABNA of Illinois, Inc.  
Bridges Land Surveying Services, LLC  
Burnett Land Surveying, L.L.C.  
Clark Land Surveying, P.C.  
Global Precision Surveying, LLC  
Herion Company  
HNTB Corporation  
Machin Land Surveying  
Mark Deal and Associates, P.C.

**LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT LICENSEES**

Cox, Wm. Justin  
DeGuire, Kristy Anne  
Flierl, Richard J  
Gaidis, Carolyn J.  
Gendron, Anthony  
Hunt, David Scott  
Luebbers, Gregory Edward  
Maag, Susan Lea  
Manley, Stephen L

Myers, Ray  
Oslund, Thomas R.  
Ownby, Steven C.  
Verardo, Brian J.  
Wolterman, James J  
Young, Erica Mae  
Zeller, Mitchel Robert

**LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL CORPORATIONS**

AECOM Technical Services, Inc  
Beringer Ciacchio Dennell Mabrey, Inc.  
Horstmann Brothers, LLC  
Oates Associates, Inc  
Poynter Landscape Consulting, Inc.  
Taliaferro & Browne, Inc.

**Disclaimer:** The articles in this newsletter are the work and opinion of the individual authors and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion or position of the Board.

STATE OF MISSOURI  
DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION  
**MISSOURI BOARD FOR ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS,  
PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS**  
3605 MISSOURI BLVD.  
JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65109

PRSTD STD  
U.S. POSTAGE  
**PAID**  
Permit 440  
Jefferson City, MO

# MOVING?

**PLEASE NOTIFY THE  
BOARD OFFICE OF  
YOUR NEW ADDRESS.**

The rules and regulations require all licensees to notify the Board of all such changes by sending a letter to the office in Jefferson City, Missouri. Please include a street address to facilitate any express mail deliveries.

NAME: \_\_\_\_\_ LAST 4 DIGITS OF YOUR SSN: \_\_\_\_\_

PROFESSION: \_\_\_\_\_ LICENSE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

(P.O. BOX MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY YOUR PHYSICAL ADDRESS)

OLD ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

NEW ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

EMAIL ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

PHONE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

**PLEASE MAIL TO:**  
**MISSOURI BOARD FOR ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, PROFESSIONAL LAND  
SURVEYORS AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS**  
3605 Missouri Blvd., Jefferson City, MO 65109 OR Fax: (573) 751-8046